

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE  
WESTERN DIVISION

In re

RECIPROCAL OF AMERICA (ROA)  
SALES PRACTICES LITIGATION

Master File No. MDL 1551

This Document Relates to:  
Civil Action No. 04-2078

---

ORDER GRANTING MOTIONS OF DEFENDANTS GARY STEPHANI  
AND PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP TO DISMISS AMENDED COMPLAINT

---

Before the Court in this multi-district litigation are the motions (Doc. Nos. 54 and 56) of the Defendants, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP ("PwC") Gary Stephani,<sup>1</sup> to dismiss the amended complaint filed by the Plaintiff, Paula A. Flowers, Commissioner of Commerce and Insurance for the State of Tennessee, in her capacity as Liquidator for Doctors Insurance Reciprocal, Risk Retention Group, American National Lawyers Insurance Reciprocal, Risk Retention Group and The Reciprocal Alliance, Risk Retention Group.<sup>2</sup> Flowers has alleged violation of the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act ("RICO"), 18 U.S.C. § 1961, *et seq.*; RICO conspiracy in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d); as well as fraud; civil conspiracy; unjust enrichment; negligence; breach of fiduciary duty; fraudulent transfers and preferences; misappropriation and negligent handling of trust funds; and malpractice under Tennessee state law.<sup>3</sup> No federal RICO

---

<sup>1</sup>According to the amended complaint, Stephani is a partner at PwC..

<sup>2</sup>In an order entered March 1, 2007, subsequent to the filing of the amended complaint at issue and the motion to dismiss currently before the Court, Leslie A. Newman was substituted as the Plaintiff in this action, as she succeeded Flowers as Commissioner and Liquidator of the RRGs. However, in the name of consistency, the Commissioner will be referred to herein as "Flowers" rather than "Newman."

<sup>3</sup>Some state law claims have not been alleged as to all Defendants.

claims were averred as to PwC or Stephani. In a series of orders, this Court has granted motions filed by other Defendants in this action to dismiss the federal claims against them. In connection with dismissal of the federal claims, the Court also dismissed the state claims against those Defendants pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(c)(3)<sup>4</sup> and at the request of the Plaintiff. Similarly, the Court finds that, as the federal claims have been dismissed in this case, dismissal of the state claims against PwC and Stephani is appropriate. See Weeks v. Portage County Executive Offices, 235 F.3d 275, 279-80 (6th Cir. 2000) (district court's decision to decline to exercise supplemental jurisdiction lies within its sound discretion).

IT IS SO ORDERED this 28th day of September, 2007.

s/ J. DANIEL BREEN  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

---

<sup>4</sup>The exercise by a district court of supplemental, or pendent, jurisdiction over state law claims is governed by 28 U.S.C. § 1367, which expressly permits the Court to decline the exercise of jurisdiction when it has dismissed all claims over which it has original jurisdiction. 28 U.S.C. § 1367(c)(3).